

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi

Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis Département Informatique andrea.tettamanzi@unice.fr

Lecture 2

Communication

Layered Protocols: the ISO/OSI Stack

Layered Protocols: Messages

Bits that actually appear on the network

A typical message as it appears on the network.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014

Data Link Layer

Client-Server TCP

a) Normal operation of TCP. b) Transactional TCP.

Middleware Protocols: An adaptation of the ISO/OSI Stack

Conventional Procedure Call

 (a)

 (b)

- a) Parameter passing in a local procedure call: the stack before the call
- b) The stack while the called procedure is active

Client and Server Stubs

Principle of RPC between a client and server program.

Steps of a Remote Procedure Call

- 1. Client procedure calls client stub in normal way
- 2. Client stub builds message, calls local OS
- 3. Client's OS sends message to remote OS
- 4. Remote OS gives message to server stub
- 5. Server stub unpacks parameters, calls server
- 6. Server does work, returns result to the stub
- 7. Server stub packs it in message, calls local OS
- 8. Server's OS sends message to client's OS
- 9. Client's OS gives message to client stub
- 10. Stub unpacks result, returns to client

Passing Value Parameters (1)

3. Message is sent across the network

Steps involved in doing remote computation through RPC

Passing Value Parameters (2)

- a) Original message on the Pentium
- b) The message after receipt on the SPARC
- c) The message after being inverted. The little numbers in boxes indicate the address of each byte

Parameter Specification and Stub Generation

a) A procedure

b) The corresponding message.

foobar(char x; float y; int $z[5]$)

 (a)

foobar's local variables X у 5 $z[0]$ $z[1]$ $z[2]$ $z[3]$ $z[4]$

 (b)

Doors

Computer

The principle of using doors as IPC mechanism.

Asynchronous RPC (1)

- a) The interconnection between client and server in a traditional RPC
- b) The interaction using asynchronous RPC

Asynchronous RPC (2)

A client and server interacting through two asynchronous RPCs

DCE

- DCE = Distributed Computing Environment
- Developed in the early '90 by a consortium of Apollo Computer (later acquired by HP), IBM, DEC, and others
- DCE supplies a framework for client/server applications
- The framework includes :
	- DCE/RPC, a remote procedure call mechanism
	- A naming service
	- A time service
	- An authentication service
	- DCE/DFS, a distributed file system
- Now OpenDCE: http://www.opengroup.org/dce/

Writing a Client and a Server

The steps in writing a client and a server in DCE RPC.

Binding a Client to a Server

Client-to-server binding in DCE.

Distributed Objects

Common organization of a remote object with client-side proxy.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 20

Parameter Passing

The situation when passing an object by reference or by value.

Persistence and Synchronicity (1)

General organization of a communication system in which hosts are connected through a network

Persistence and Synchronicity (2)

Persistent communication in the days of the Pony Express.

Persistence and Synchronicity (3)

a) Persistent asynchronous communication b) Persistent synchronous communication

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 24

Persistence and Synchronicity (4)

c) Transient asynchronous communication d) Receipt-based transient synchronous communication

Persistence and Synchronicity (5)

- e) Delivery-based transient synchronous communication at message delivery
- f) Response-based transient synchronous communication

Berkeley Sockets (1)

Socket primitives for TCP/IP.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 27

Berkeley Sockets (2)

Connection-oriented communication pattern using sockets.

The Message-Passing Interface (MPI)

Some of the most intuitive message-passing primitives of MPI.

Message-Queuing Model (1)

4 combinations for loosely-coupled communications w/ queues

Message-Queuing Model (2)

Basic interface to a queue in a message-queuing system.

General Architecture of a Message-Queuing System (1)

 The relationship between queue-level addressing and network-level addressing.

General Architecture of a Message-Queuing System (2)

The general organization of a message-queuing system with routers.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 33

Message Brokers

The general organization of a message broker in a message-queuing system.

Example: IBM MQSeries

General organization of IBM's MQSeries message-queuing system.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 35

Channels

Some attributes associated with message channel agents.

Message Transfer (1)

The general organization of an MQSeries queuing network using routing tables and aliases.

Message Transfer (2)

Primitive Description

- MQopen Open a (possibly remote) queue
- MQclose Close a queue
- MQput Put a message into an opened queue
- MOget Get a message from a (local) queue

Primitives available in an IBM MQSeries MQI

Data Stream (1)

Setting up a stream between two processes across a network.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 39

Data Stream (2)

Setting up a stream directly between two devices.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 **40**

Data Stream (3)

An example of multicasting a stream to several receivers.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 41

Specifying QoS (1)

Characteristics of the Input Service Required

- •maximum data unit size (bytes)
- •Token bucket rate (bytes/sec)
- •Toke bucket size (bytes)
- •Maximum transmission rate (bytes/sec)

- •Loss sensitivity (bytes)
- •Loss interval (µsec)
- •Burst loss sensitivity (data units)
- •Minimum delay noticed (µsec)
- •Maximum delay variation (µsec)
- •Quality of guarantee

A flow specification.

Specifying QoS (2)

The principle of a token bucket algorithm.

Setting Up a Stream

The basic organization of RSVP for resource reservation in a distributed system.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi. 2014 and a strategies of the strate

Synchronization Mechanisms (1)

The principle of explicit synchronization on the level data units.

Synchronization Mechanisms (2)

The principle of synchronization as supported by high-level interfaces.

Andrea G. B. Tettamanzi, 2014 **46** and the set of the set

Multicasting

Transport or application level Distribution trees Gossip

Level

Multicast in Network Protocols:

- Creating *communication paths*
- Enormous management effort
- ISP reluctant to implement

Multicast at the Application Level

- Has become possible in the age of P2P
- *Communication paths* as *overlay networks*
- Two techniques:
	- explicit communication paths
	- gossiping

Application-Level Multicasting

Basic idea: nodes organized in an *overlay network*

N.B.: *routers* are not part of the overlay network!

Basic design element: overlay network construction

Two approaches are possible:

- Distribution tree layout
- Mesh layout (multiple paths are possible)

Multicast Tree Construction

Method used in the CHORD system (DHT):

- The node that initiates a multicast session generates a 160 bit random identifier, *mid*;
- Look succ(*mid*) up and make it the tree root;
- If a node *P* wishes to "register" to the tree, it sends a message to succ(*mid*), which will go through other nodes
- The nodes traversed either are already in the tree, or they become *forwarders* on behalf of *P*.

Multicast Tree Construction

Quality of a Multicast Tree

Link Stress:

– How many times the same packet goes through the same link Stretch or relative delay penalty (RDP)

 $- d_{\text{overlap}}(A, B)/d_{\text{phis}}(A, B) \ge 1$

Cost of the Tree

– A global measure, relevant to controlling the resources used by multicast communication

Information Diffusion Models

Epidemic Behavior

Information spreads "by contagion"

- *Infected node* = has the data that have to be spread
- *Susceptible node* = does not have the data
- *Removed node* = has the data but it does not spread them

Fully Local Techniques

Anti-Entropy

P randomly picks another node *Q* Three possible approaches:

- *1. Push: P* sends its data to *Q*
- *2. Pull: P* requests data from *Q*
- *3. Push-Pull: P and Q* exchange data

Push approach is inefficient

Push-pull approach is optimal

All nodes get updated in *O*(log*N*) "rounds".

Gossiping

When node *P* gets to know some new information, it starts contacting other arbitrary nodes (random, neighbors, ...) to tell them.

Every time a contacted node turns out to already know, with probability 1/*k, P* decides to give up "gossiping" and becomes "removed".

Problem: the fraction of "susceptible" nodes tends to

s = exp[−(*k* + 1)(1 – *s*)]

Data Elimination

A problem, because, if data are removed, a node becomes again susceptible

"Deat Certificate" technique

These to have to be eliminated, after a while:

"Inactive Death Certificates"

Thank you for your attention

